arXiv:1304.4411v2 [hep-th] 23 Apr 2013

The a-theorem and entanglement entropy

Sergey N. Solodukhin[♯]

Laboratoire de Mathématiques et Physique Théorique CNRS-UMR 7350
Fédération Denis Poisson, Université François-Rabelais Tours,
Parc de Grandmont, 37200 Tours, France

Abstract

The a-theorem is demonstrated for the RG flows of entanglement entropy in two and four dimensions. In four dimensions we relate it to the term quadratic in intrinsic derivative of the dilaton along the entangling surface in the dilaton entropy. The a-theorem, similarly to the c-theorem in two dimensions, then follows from the positivity of the 2-point function of stress-energy tensor. We suggest that the a-theorem, provided it is properly reformulated in terms of the entanglement entropy, may follow from the c-theorem.

[♯] e-mail: Sergey.Solodukhin@lmpt.univ-tours.fr

1 Introduction

An intriguing long-standing question is whether the Renormalization Group (RG) flow in a unitary quantum field theory is irreversible. Or, in a weaker form, whether the two conformal field theories defined on the end points of the flow (UV and IR) are related by an irreversible RG evolution. In two spacetime dimensions there is a complete answer to these questions. It was demonstrated in 1986 by Zamolodchikov [1] that in two dimensions there exists a certain function (called c-function) which is monotonically decreasing along the RG trajectories. At the fixed points of the RG flow, where the theory becomes conformal, this function coincides with the central charge of the theory and, hence, the inequality $c_{UV} > c_{IR}$ follows. Moreover, the RG flow in two dimensions occurs to be a gradient flow thus forbidding the recurrent behaviors such as limit circles.

This remarkable theorem motivated the search for an extension of this result, or some part of it, to higher dimensions. In two dimensions the central charge is related to the conformal anomaly $< T^\mu_\mu >= \frac{c}{24\pi}R$, where R is the Ricci scalar. Namely this interpretation of c can be easily generalized. In particular, in four dimensions, there are two contributions to the trace anomaly[1]

$$< T^\mu_\mu >= \frac{1}{64}(-aE_4 + bW^2)\,, \tag{1.1}$$

where W^2 is the square of the Weyl tensor and E_4 is the Euler density

$$\begin{aligned} W^2 &= R_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}R^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} - 2R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{3}R^2\,, \\ E_4 &= R_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}R^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} - 4R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu} + R^2\,. \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

In 1988 Cardy suggested that in the 4d RG flows the central charge a plays a role similar to the 2d central charge c and conjectured that

$$a_{UV} > a_{IR}\,. \tag{1.3}$$

Since then the focus has been made on finding a proof of the a-theorem (see for instance [3], [4]) that occurred to be a difficult problem. An elegant proof has been suggested only recently by Komargodski and Schwimmer [5] (see the subsequent discussion in [6], [7], [8], [9], [10] and in a recent review [11]). The idea of [5] is to promote the mass parameters of operators that explicitly break the conformal invariance to functions of spacetime, $M_i \to M_i e^{-\tau(x)}$, by introducing the dilaton field $\tau(x)$. Provided the dilaton changes under the conformal transformations, $\tau \to \tau + \sigma$, this procedure restores the conformal invariance. The quantum effective action then becomes a functional of the background fields, the dilaton $\tau(x)$ and the metric $g_{\mu\nu}(x)$, and encodes the information on n-point correlation functions of stress-energy tensor. The dependence of the effective action on the dilaton is constrained by the conformal symmetry and by the requirement that the conformal anomaly is now produced both by conformal transformations of metric and of the dilaton. Comparing now the conformal anomaly in two CFT's, UV and IR, the difference $\Delta a = a_{UV} - a_{IR}$ is due to the dilaton. In flat spacetime and in the low energy approximation the anomaly part of the dilaton action is quartic in derivatives and is responsible for element of $2 \to 2$ dilaton scattering. The positivity of Δa then follows from a positive-definite dispersion relation satisfied by the S-matrix elements.

---

[1] We use the following conventions: $[\nabla_\mu, \nabla_\nu]V^\alpha = R^\alpha_{\ \beta\mu\nu}V^\beta$, $R_{\mu\nu} = R^\alpha_{\ \mu\alpha\nu}$.

On the other hand, it has been suggested by many authors that the a-theorem can be approached by using the entanglement entropy [12] (for reviews on entanglement entropy see [13]). Numerical analysis of [14] and analytic study of [15] based on the strong subadditivity demonstrate that the c-theorem in $(1+1)$-dimensional spacetime can be reformulated in terms of the entanglement entropy. Taking the similarity between the RG flow and the geometric Ricci flow we mention also a similar result [16] on the monotonic behavior of the entanglement entropy under the Ricci flow in two dimensions. Further progress in this direction was made in three dimensions [17], [18] using the F-theorem and in higher dimensions using the holographic duality [19], [20], [21].

In four dimensions the central charge a appears in the logarithmic term of the entanglement entropy of a sphere [22] (see [23] for numerical analysis and extensions to higher dimensions). Moreover, the conformal anomaly in the entanglement entropy can be integrated [24] and, for the anomaly produced by the central charge a, reduces to a purely two-dimensional anomaly defined on the co-dimension two entangling surface. These observations suggest that the a-theorem, being reformulated in terms of the entanglement entropy, could be approached along the same lines and using similar methods as the Zamolodchikov's c-theorem.

In this note we make a step in this direction. We apply the approach of Komargodski and Schwimmer to the entanglement entropy and identify the part of the entropy which depends on the dilaton field $\tau(x)$. This part comes from a certain term in the dilaton effective action which describes the coupling of the Einstein tensor to $\partial_\mu\tau\partial_\nu\tau$. Since the dilaton couples to the trace of stress-energy tensor $\int d^dx\,\tau(x)T(x)$, $T(x) = T^\mu_{\ \mu}(x)$, the specified term originates from the 2-point correlation function of the trace $\langle T(x), T(y)\rangle$ considered on a curved background metric. We then find that $\Delta a > 0$ as a consequence of the positive-definite property of the spectral representation of the two-point function. This is exactly the property which can be used, as explained in [3] and [6], to prove the c-theorem in two dimensions.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we give a brief discussion of the approach of Komargodski and Schwimmer. The conformal properties of the entanglement entropy in four dimensions are reviewed in section 3. In section 4 we discuss the RG flows of the entanglement entropy in a two-dimensional theory and give yet another proof of the c-theorem. The RG flows of the entanglement entropy in a four-dimensional field theory and the property (1.3) are studied in section 5. In section 6 we suggest that the similarity between the a- and c- theorems may not be incidental and, in fact, the a-theorem may follow from the c-theorem. We conclude and summarize in section 7.

2 The a-theorem

As we already mentioned in the introduction the idea of Komargodski and Schwimmer is to introduce a new scalar field, the dilaton τ. Under the conformal transformations the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ and the dilaton τ transform as

$$g_{\mu\nu}\rightarrow e^{2\sigma}g_{\mu\nu}\,,\ \ \tau\rightarrow\tau+\sigma \tag{2.1}$$

so that the combination $\hat{g}_{\mu\nu}=e^{-2\tau}g_{\mu\nu}$ is conformal invariant. The metric and the dilaton are considered as the background fields. The effective action then is a functional of both, $W_{eff}[g,\tau]$, and is sum of a conformally invariant part W_{inv} and a part which generates the anomaly W_{anom}. The invariant part can be easily constructed as an expansion in curvature of the rescaled metric $\hat{g}_{\mu\nu}$,

$$W_{inv}=-\int d^4x\sqrt{\hat{g}}\left(\kappa_0\hat{R}+\kappa_1\hat{R}^2+\kappa_2\hat{E}_4+\kappa_3\hat{W}^2+..\right)\,. \tag{2.2}$$

Being considered on a flat background, $g_{\mu\nu}=\delta_{\mu\nu}$, this is an expansion in a number of derivatives of the dilaton τ. To the lowest order the equation of motion for the dilaton comes from the first term in (2.2),

$$e^{-\tau}=1-\varphi\,,\ \ \Box\varphi=0\,. \tag{2.3}$$

The anomaly part of the effective action is obtained by integrating the conformal anomaly of the type (1.1). The result of the integration is[2]

$$\begin{aligned}W_{anom}=&\frac{\Delta a}{64}\int d^4x\sqrt{g}\left(\tau E_4+4(R^{\mu\nu}-\frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}R)\partial_\mu\tau\partial_\nu\tau-4(\partial\tau)^2\Box\tau+2(\partial\tau)^4\right)\\&-\frac{\Delta b}{64}\int d^4x\sqrt{g}\tau W^2_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}\,.\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

By using the condition that the anomalies in the UV and IR conformal field theories should match one obtains that $\Delta a=a_{UV}-a_{IR}$. In a flat space limit only the terms with four derivatives of the dilaton in (2.4) survive. By using the low energy equation of motion (2.3) for the dilaton one finds that

$$W_{anom}=-\frac{\Delta a}{32}\int d^4x(\partial\tau)^4\,. \tag{2.5}$$

---

[2] We are working in the Euclidean signature. Therefore the anomaly (1.1) and the dilaton action differ by sign from those that appear in [5], see also [11] for the analysis in the Euclidean signature.

The action (2.5) defines the 4-point scattering amplitude $\mathcal{A}(s,t)$, where s and t are the Mandelstam variables, for which one derives a dispersion relation [5] (see also the subsequent discussion in [7])

$$\frac{\Delta a}{64}=\frac{1}{4\pi}\int_{s>0}\frac{Im\mathcal{A}(s)}{s^3}\,. \tag{2.6}$$

The desired relation (1.3) is obtained by noting that the right hand side in equation (2.6) is a positive quantity in a unitary theory. Since the dilaton $\tau(x)$ couples to the trace of the stress-energy tensor $T(x)$ the amplitude $\mathcal{A}(s,t)$ can be expressed in terms of 4-point correlation function of $T(x)$. Thus, in the approach of Komargodski and Schwimmer the a-theorem is due to a certain positive-definite relation extracted from the 4-point function.

3 Conformal properties of entanglement entropy

3.1 Entanglement entropy in the replica method

The entanglement entropy is defined by first taking a pure, typically vacuum, state and then tracing the modes which reside inside an entangling surface Σ. The result is a mixed state with a certain density matrix ρ with entropy $S=-\operatorname{Tr}\rho\ln\rho$. The calculation of the entanglement entropy can be carried out by using the so-called conical singularity method. It consists in introducing a small conical singularity with angle deficit $\delta=2\pi(1-\alpha)$ in the two-dimensional sub-space orthogonal to the entangling surface Σ so that locally, in a small vicinity of Σ, the space-time $\mathcal{M}_\alpha$ looks like a direct product $C_{2,\alpha}\times\Sigma$ of two-dimensional conical space $C_{2,\alpha}$ and surface Σ. We define

$$-\ln\,\operatorname{Tr}\rho^\alpha=W(\alpha) \tag{3.1}$$

as an effective action of the quantum field theory in question on the conical background. The entropy then is defined by differentiating the effective action with respect to α,

$$S=(\alpha\partial_\alpha-1)W(\alpha)|_{\alpha=1}\,. \tag{3.2}$$

3.2 Geometric invariants of conical space

In a curved metric the effective action can be represented as an expansion in the curvature. In the presence of a conical singularity the curvature has a delta-like contribution at the singular surface [25]

$$\begin{aligned}
&R^{\mu\nu}{}_{\alpha\beta}=\bar{R}^{\mu\nu}{}_{\alpha\beta}+2\pi(1-\alpha)\left((n^\mu n_\alpha)(n^\nu n_\beta)-(n^\mu n_\beta)(n^\nu n_\alpha)\right)\delta_\Sigma\,,\\
&R^\mu_{\ \nu}=\bar{R}^\mu_{\ \nu}+2\pi(1-\alpha)(n^\mu n_\nu)\delta_\Sigma\,,\\
&R=\bar{R}+4\pi(1-\alpha)\delta_\Sigma\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

where n^μ_k, $k = 1, 2$ are two orthonormal vectors orthogonal to the surface Σ, $(n_\mu n_\nu) = \sum_{k=1}^2 n^k_\mu n^k_\nu$, and the quantity $\bar{\mathcal{R}}$ is the regular part of the curvature. Thus namely the singular terms in the curvature (3.3) produce a contribution to the entropy (3.2).

Using the relations (3.3) one may calculate the integral of polynomials of curvature over conical space. It should be noted that the formulas (3.3) were obtained in [25] under the assumption that a rotational symmetry is present in the plane orthogonal to the entangling surface. This assumption is justified if Σ is black hole horizon. It guarantees that the components of the extrinsic curvature of the surface Σ vanish. However, in general, the rotational symmetry is not present. The typical examples are the cylinder or sphere in Minkowski spacetime. The extrinsic curvature is non-vanishing in this case and, thus, it should be taken into account. The contribution of the extrinsic curvature to the integral of the Euler density and of the square of the Weyl tensor is known [22] (in a purely geometric way this is systematically studied in [26]). It can be summarized as follows

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}_\alpha} E_4 = \alpha \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\alpha=1}} E_4 + 8\pi(1-\alpha)\int_\Sigma R_\Sigma \,, \tag{3.4}$$

where R_Σ is the intrinsic curvature of the entangling surface Σ, and for the integral of the square of the Weyl tensor

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}_\alpha} W^2 = \alpha \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\alpha=1}} W^2 + 8\pi(1-\alpha)\int_\Sigma K_\Sigma + O(1-\alpha)^2 \,, \tag{3.5}$$

where we introduced the quantity

$$K_\Sigma = R_{ijij} - R_{ii} + \frac{1}{3}R - (\mathrm{Tr}k^2 - \frac{1}{2}k_i k_i) \tag{3.6}$$

where $R_{ijij} = R_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}n^\alpha_i n^\beta_j n^\mu_i n^\nu_j$, $R_{ii} = R_{\alpha\beta}n^\alpha_i n^\beta_i$, and $k^i_{\mu\nu}$ is the extrinsic curvature of Σ with respect to a normal vector n^i. The important point here is that the surface contribution due to the Euler density depends only on the intrinsic geometry of the surface Σ while the surface contributions of other geometric quantities like the Weyl squared may depend on both the intrinsic and extrinsic geometry of the surface and on the geometry of the spacetime.

3.3 Entanglement entropy and conformal anomaly

Here we summarize the general relation of the entanglement entropy and the conformal anomalies in $d = 4$ [22], [24]. Consider a generic 4d conformal field theory, characterized by the conformal anomalies of type A and B. On a curved background (equipped with a metric $g_{\mu\nu}$) with a singular surface Σ (equipped with a 2d metric γ_{ij}) this theory is described by the quantum effective action which has the bulk and boundary components. To first order in $(1-\alpha)$ one has that

$$W = \alpha W_{bulk} + (1-\alpha)W_\Sigma \,. \tag{3.7}$$

The entanglement entropy is determined by the surface part of the effective action,

$$S=-W_\Sigma\,. \tag{3.8}$$

The bulk part of the action has a standard decomposition in terms of the UV cut-off ϵ

$$W_{bulk}=\int_{M_{\alpha=1}}\left(\frac{a_4}{\epsilon^4}+\frac{a_1}{\epsilon^2}-a_2\ln\epsilon\right)+w(g)\,. \tag{3.9}$$

Under the conformal transformations of the bulk metric, $g\rightarrow e^{2\sigma(x)}g$, the UV finite part $w(g)$ produces the anomaly

$$w(e^{2\sigma}g)=w(g)+\int_M a_2\sigma(x)+O(\sigma^2)\,. \tag{3.10}$$

In four dimensions one has that (see [27] for a review)

$$a_2=\frac{1}{64}(aE_{(4)}-bW^2)\,. \tag{3.11}$$

Similarly, the surface term in the effective action (3.7) is decomposed on the UV divergent and UV finite parts,

$$W_\Sigma=-\int_\Sigma\left(\frac{N}{48\pi\epsilon^2}+s_0\ln\epsilon\right)-s(g,\gamma)\,, \tag{3.12}$$

where $N=N(A,B)$ is the effective number of fields in the theory, the fermions are counted with the weight $1/2$. Under the conformal (Weyl) transformations of the bulk metric and of the surface metric, $g\rightarrow e^{2\sigma}g$, $\gamma\rightarrow e^{2\sigma}\gamma$ the UV finite part $s(g,\gamma)$ transforms as

$$s(e^{2\sigma}g,e^{2\sigma}\gamma)=s(g,\gamma)-\int_\Sigma s_0\sigma+O(\sigma^2)\,. \tag{3.13}$$

The term s_0 is the surface part of the conformal anomaly [22],

$$\begin{aligned}&s_0=as_A-bs_B\,,\\&s_A=\frac{\pi}{8}R_\Sigma\,,\quad s_B=\frac{\pi}{8}K_\Sigma\,,\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

Under the conformal transformations the intrinsic curvature R_Σ and the quantity K_Σ transform as follows

$$\begin{aligned}&R_\Sigma(e^{2\sigma}\gamma)=e^{-2\sigma}(R_\Sigma(\gamma)-2\Delta_\Sigma\sigma))\\&K_\Sigma(e^{2\sigma}g,e^{2\sigma}\gamma))=e^{-2\sigma}K_\Sigma(g,\gamma)\,.\end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

The surface integral of s_A is topological invariant, the Euler number of the surface. On the other hand, the surface integral of K_Σ is conformal invariant. With the help of (3.15) one can integrate (3.13) and obtain the non-local surface action and the entropy [24]

$$S=s(g,\gamma)=\frac{a\pi}{32}\int_\Sigma R_\Sigma\frac{1}{\Delta_\Sigma}R_\Sigma-\frac{b\pi}{16}\int_\Sigma K_\Sigma\frac{1}{\Delta_\Sigma}R_\Sigma+s_{conf}\,, \tag{3.16}$$

where the last term is a conformally invariant part and we skip the UV divergent terms.

To summarize the a-anomaly produces a purely intrinsic contribution to the entanglement entropy. This is not so for the anomaly due to the Weyl squared. There are certain cases, when $K_\Sigma = 0$ and the respective contribution to the entropy is eliminated. The typical example is when Σ is a 2-sphere in Mikowski spacetime. In this case the finite part of the entropy is purely intrinsic in the sense that it is entirely due to the intrinsic geometry of the entangling surface.

3.4 The dilaton entropy

In the approach of Komargodski and Schwimmer the dilaton τ is yet another background field, such as metric $g_{\mu\nu}$. Thus, the entanglement entropy of the quantum field theory becomes a functional of the dilaton and of the metric. How the entropy depends on the dilaton can be easily obtained by applying the method of conical singularity to the actions (2.2) and (2.4). The conformally invariant part of the entropy then takes the following form[3]

$$S_{inv} = 4\pi \int_\Sigma \sqrt{\hat{\gamma}} \left(\kappa_0 + 2\kappa_1 \hat{R} + 2\kappa_2 \hat{R}_\Sigma + 2\kappa_3 \hat{K}_\Sigma + .. \right) , \tag{3.17}$$

where $\hat{\gamma} = e^{-2\tau}\gamma$. The anomaly part of the entropy is obtained by applying (3.3) to the anomaly action (2.4),

$$S_{anom} = \Delta a \frac{\pi}{8} \int_\Sigma \sqrt{\gamma} \left(\tau R_\Sigma(\gamma) + (\partial_\Sigma \tau)^2 \right) + \Delta b \frac{\pi}{8} \int_\Sigma \sqrt{\gamma} \tau K_\Sigma \, . \tag{3.18}$$

Here the term $(\partial_\Sigma \tau)^2 = \gamma^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu \tau \partial_\nu \tau$, where $\gamma^{\mu\nu} = g^{\mu\nu} - (n^\mu n^\nu)$ is the metric on the surface, is defined intrinsically on the surface Σ. Thus, the a-anomaly part in the dilaton action is purely intrinsic. This is consistent with what we said above regarding the a-anomaly (3.16).

In order to eliminate almost all terms in the entropy let us consider Minkowski spacetime and the entangling surface Σ to be an infinite plane. The entropy then contains only two terms

$$S(\tau) = \Delta a \frac{\pi}{8} \int_\Sigma (\partial_\Sigma \tau)^2 + 4\pi\kappa_0 \int_\Sigma \sqrt{\gamma} e^{-2\tau} \, . \tag{3.19}$$

We used the low energy equation of motion (2.3) in the bulk when derived (3.19) so that the term $\hat{R}$ in (3.17) does not produce any contribution. In what follows we shall focus on the first term in (3.19) and ignore the second, “cosmological constant”, term.

First of all we notice that the four-derivatives terms in (2.4), which were very important in the analysis of Komargodski and Schwimmer, do not contribute at all to the entanglement entropy! Instead, we have a contribution from the term with two derivatives of τ that couples to the Einstein tensor $G^{\mu\nu} = R^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}R$. This term compensates in (2.4) the conformal transformation of the Euler density, $\delta_\sigma E_4 = 8G^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\sigma$. Namely the coupling to the Einstein tensor was crucial in establishing the fact that only the intrinsic derivatives of the dilaton along the surface appear in the entropy (3.19). The other remark is that the anomaly term in the entropy (3.19) is produced by a term quadratic in τ in the dilaton action (2.4). Since the dilaton τ couples to the trace $T(x)$ of the stress-energy tensor the anomaly term in the dilaton entropy is related to a 2-point function of the trace $T(x)$, as we show below.

---

[3] One might worry whether the $\hat{R}^2$ term in the action (2.2) may give, in flat space, an extra contribution to the entropy that is entirely due to the extrinsic curvature of the entangling surface. This does not happen as is shown in the forthcoming paper [26].

4 Analysis of the entanglement entropy in D=2

4.1 Dilaton anomaly action and the entropy

Let us start our analysis with a brief consideration of the two-dimensional case. In two dimensions the anomaly part of the dilation action is [6]

$$W_{anomaly}(\tau) = -\frac{\Delta c}{24\pi}\int (\tau R - (\nabla\tau)^2)\,, \tag{4.1}$$

where $\Delta c = c_{UV} - c_{IR}$ is the variation in the central charge of the theory in UV and IR regimes. Applying (3.3) to the dilaton action (4.1) we find the dilaton contribution to the entanglement entropy in $D = 2$,

$$S(\tau) = \frac{\Delta c}{6}\tau_\Sigma\,, \tag{4.2}$$

where Σ is the discreet set of points of separation between the two subsystems and τ_Σ is the value of the dilaton on this set. For simplicity we shall consider the case when Σ is just a single point separating two subsystems of a quantum field defined on infinite line.

4.2 A quick analysis of the dilaton entropy

In order to illustrate the calculation of Δc let us consider a single free massless field with the action $W_0(\phi) = \frac{1}{2}\int(\nabla\phi)^2$ and perturb it by a mass term $\frac{m^2}{2}\int\phi^2$. The dilaton is introduced by replacing $m^2 \to e^{-2\tau}m^2$. A quick, and not rigorous, way to find the dependence of the entanglement entropy on the dilaton is the following. We know that in the presence of mass m the entanglement entropy of a 2d scalar field is

$$S = \frac{1}{6}\ln\frac{1}{\epsilon m}\,, \tag{4.3}$$

where ϵ is a UV cut-off. Now, replacing here $m \to me^{-\tau_\Sigma}$ we find

$$S(\tau) = \frac{1}{6}\tau_\Sigma \tag{4.4}$$

for the dilaton part in the entropy. That the value of the dilaton τ has to be taken at the point of separation Σ is not obvious in this quick derivation. However, the distributional nature of the conical singularity, which is important in the derivation of the entanglement entropy, tells us that the all quantities which vary in space should be taken at the tip of the singularity, i.e. at Σ.

4.3 Entanglement entropy of a free field

Let us consider a slower calculation of the dilaton entropy (4.4). For small τ the perturbed action is $W_{pert}(\phi) = W_m(\phi) - \int \tau(x)\mathcal{O}(x)$, where $W_m(\phi) = W_0(\phi) + \frac{m^2}{2}\int \phi^2(x)$ is the action of a massive field and $\mathcal{O} = m^2\phi^2$ is a perturbation. Now, to linear order in τ, we have that

$$\left\langle e^{\int \tau(x)\mathcal{O}(x)} \right\rangle = e^{-\int W(\tau)}\,, \quad W(\tau) = -\int \tau(x)\left\langle \mathcal{O}(x) \right\rangle\,, \tag{4.5}$$

where the expectation values are calculated in the massive theory. For operator $\mathcal{O} = m^2\phi^2$ the expectation value is expressed in terms of coincident points limit of Green's function of the massive field,

$$\mathcal{O}(x) = m^2 G_m(x,x)\,. \tag{4.6}$$

In 2d Minkowski spacetime, and in the coincident points limit, Green's function is trivial, $G_m(x,x) = G_m(0)$. This is not so in the presence of a conical singularity.

We shall use a representation for Green's function that uses the heat kernel,

$$G_m(x,y) = \int_0^\infty ds\, K(s,x,y)e^{-m^2 s}\,, \tag{4.7}$$

where $K(s,x,y)$ is the heat kernel of a massless field. In 2d Minkowski spacetime the heat kernel is

$$K_0(s,x,y) = \frac{1}{4\pi s}e^{-\frac{(x-y)^2}{4s}}\,.$$

Let us choose a polar coordinate system (r,θ) with the center in the point Σ. In the presence of a conical singularity one uses the Sommerfeld formula to make the heat kernel $2\pi\alpha$ periodic in θ,

$$K_\alpha(s,x,y) = K_0(s,x,y) + \frac{i}{4\pi\alpha}\int_\Gamma \cot\frac{w}{2\alpha}\, K(s,x(w),y)\, dw\,. \tag{4.8}$$

The contour Γ consists of two vertical lines, going from $(-\pi + i\infty)$ to $(-\pi - i\infty)$ and from $(\pi - i\infty)$ to $(\pi - +i\infty)$ and intersecting the real axis between the poles of the $\cot\frac{w}{2\alpha}$: $-2\pi\alpha$, 0 and 0, $+2\pi\alpha$, respectively. The second term in (4.8) vanishes when $\alpha = 1$. Namely this term in the heat kernel is important for the entropy calculation. Let us expand the dilaton τ in powers of the radial coordinate r. At the coincident points we find

$$K(s, x(w), x) = \frac{1}{4\pi s} e^{-\frac{r^2 \sin^2 w/2}{s}} .$$

The regularity of the dilaton in the Cartesian coordinates (x_1, x_2) means that it can be decomposed in positive powers of x_1 and x_2, the monomes $x_1^l x_2^{n-l}$ then form a basis of the decomposition. This can be translated to a decomposition in the polar coordinates,

$$\tau(r, \theta) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^n \sum_{l=-n}^{l=n} \tau_{n,l}\, e^{il\theta/\alpha} , \tag{4.9}$$

where $\tau_{0,0} = \tau_\Sigma$, is the value of the dilaton at Σ. We then find (see also [28] for a related calculation)

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}_\alpha} \tau(x) K_\alpha(s, x, x) = \frac{\alpha}{4\pi s} \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\alpha=1}} \tau(x) + \int \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{k!}{(2k)!}\, \alpha\, P_{2k+2}(\alpha) \tau_{2k,0}\, s^k , \tag{4.10}$$

where we performed the integration over the radial coordinate r and the angular coordinate θ, and introduced

$$\frac{i}{4\pi\alpha} \int_\Gamma dw \, \cot \frac{w}{2\alpha} \, \frac{1}{(\sin \frac{w}{2})^n} = P_n(\alpha) . \tag{4.11}$$

It occurs that for odd values of n the integral in (4.11) vanishes. That is why in (4.10) only even values of n appear. All functions $P_n(\alpha)$ vanish if $\alpha = 1$. For $n = 2$ and $n = 4$ we find a exact form

$$P_2 = \frac{1}{3\alpha^2}(1 - \alpha^2) , \quad P_4(\alpha) = \frac{1}{45\alpha^3}(1 - \alpha^2)(11\alpha^2 + 1) . \tag{4.12}$$

Multiplying now (4.10) by $e^{-m^2 s}$ and integrating over the proper time s we find the dilaton action

$$W_\alpha(\tau) = \alpha W_{\alpha=1}(\tau) - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4m^{2k}} \frac{(k!)^2}{(2k)!}\, \alpha\, P_{2k+2}(\alpha) \tau_{2k,0} . \tag{4.13}$$

Applying now (3.2) to (4.13) we obtain the dilaton part in the entanglement entropy,

$$S(\tau) = \frac{1}{6} \tau_\Sigma + O(\frac{1}{m^2} \partial_r^2 \tau|_\Sigma) . \tag{4.14}$$

We used here that $\tau_{0,0} = \tau_\Sigma$ and that $\tau_{2k,0} \sim \partial_r^{2k} \tau|_\Sigma$. The mass independent, no-derivative, term in the entropy (4.14) is precisely (4.2), the term we are mostly interested in in the context of the c-theorem. For a free field we the have that $\Delta c = 1$ and, hence, $c_{IR} = 0$, i.e. the IR theory does not contain any degrees of freedom.

4.4 Entanglement entropy in general case, the c-theorem

This free field calculation, however, is useful in the study of the RG flows in the general case. Indeed, the expectation value of the operator $\mathcal{O}$ which couples to the dilaton can be represented as follows

$$\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle = \lim_{x \to x'} \int dk \, \mathcal{O}(k) e^{-ik(x-x')} = \lim_{x \to x'} \int_0^\infty dm \, m^2 C(m) G_m(x, x') \,, \tag{4.15}$$

where for $\mathcal{O}(k)$ we have used a spectral representation

$$\mathcal{O}(k) = \int_0^\infty dm \, m^2 \frac{C(m)}{k^2 + m^2} \,. \tag{4.16}$$

If $\mathcal{O}$ is a positive operator, as it is in our case since $\mathcal{O}$ is the trace of the stress-energy tensor, then $C(m) \geq 0$. The calculation in the general case (4.15), thus, reduces to a free field calculation for the operator (4.6). Repeating now the same steps as above, this time for the operator (4.15), and focusing only on the no-derivative term in the dilaton entropy we find that

$$S(\tau) = \frac{1}{6} \int_0^\infty dm \, C(m) \, \tau_\Sigma \,. \tag{4.17}$$

Comparing this to (4.2) we find

$$\Delta c = \int_0^\infty dm \, C(m) \geq 0 \tag{4.18}$$

and, thus, reproduce the c-theorem.

5 Analysis of the dilaton action and entanglement entropy in D=4

In this section we prefer to use the field φ (2.3) to represent the dilaton, for small values we have $\varphi = \tau$. Our strategy here is to look at the coupling $G^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\varphi\partial_\nu\varphi$ in the effective dilaton action. Then, using the formulae (3.3) for the curvature due to a conical singularity we compute the corresponding contribution to the dilaton entropy.

5.1 A free scalar field: the dilaton effective action and the entropy

Let us start with a conformal scalar field and perturb it with a massive term. The perturbed action then is

$$W = \frac{1}{2} \int \phi \left(-\Box + \frac{1}{6} R + m^2 + V(\varphi) \right) \phi \,, \tag{5.1}$$

where the dilaton potential takes the form

$$V(\varphi)=m^2(-2\varphi+\varphi^2)\,. \tag{5.2}$$

Integrating over ϕ we obtain an effective action which is functional of the metric and the dilaton φ. It can be evaluated by using the heat kernel technique,

$$W_{eff}=-\frac{1}{2}\int_{\epsilon^2}^{\infty}\frac{ds}{s}\,\mathrm{Tr}\,K(s,x,x)e^{-sm^2}\,. \tag{5.3}$$

Here $K(s,x,x')$ is the heat kernel for the operator $\left(-\Box+\frac{1}{6}R+V(\varphi)\right)$. What we shall need is a decomposition of the heat kernel in the powers of the potential $V(\varphi)$, where we want to include the mixed terms linear in the curvature. The required structure of the heat kernel can be extracted from the exhaustive study presented in [29]. We use the equations (4.47)-(4.49) in Ref. [29] and focus only on the terms polynomial in V, that contain maximum the first power of the curvature and the second derivative of the potential V. Among plenty of terms obtained in [29] we shall need only the following five terms[4]

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Tr}\,K(s,x,x)&=\frac{s^3}{(4\pi s)^2}\int[(\frac{1}{12}V\Box V-\frac{1}{180}V\Box R)\\ &-s(\frac{1}{24}\Box VV^2-\frac{1}{720}V^2\Box R-\frac{1}{180}VR^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu V))]\,.\end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

The terms with higher powers of s contain either higher powers of potential V, higher powers of the curvature, or higher derivatives of V. They are not of our interest here. The effective action then, after the integration over proper time s, the integration by parts and using the Bianchi identities, is a sum of two terms,

$$\begin{aligned}&W=W_0+W_1\,,\\ &W_0=-\frac{1}{384\pi^2}\int(\frac{1}{m^2}V\Box V-\frac{1}{2m^4}V^2\Box V)\,,\\ &W_1=\frac{1}{57650\pi^2}\int(\frac{1}{m^4}G^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu V\partial_\nu V+R(\frac{1}{m^2}\Box V-\frac{1}{2m^4}(\nabla V)^2-\frac{1}{m^4}V\Box V))\,,\end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

where $G^{\mu\nu}=R^{\mu\nu}-\frac{1}{2}Rg^{\mu\nu}$ is the Einstein tensor. For the potential V, expressed in terms of the dilaton as in (5.2), we find

$$W_1(\varphi)=\frac{1}{57650\pi^2}\int(4(\varphi-1)^2G^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\varphi\partial_\nu\varphi-2R(\varphi-1)^3\Box\varphi)\,. \tag{5.6}$$

On-shell, (2.3), the second term in (5.6) vanishes. For small values of φ we then obtain

$$W_1(\varphi)=\frac{1}{1440\pi^2}\int G^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\varphi\partial_\nu\varphi \tag{5.7}$$

---

[4] Note that our potential V is related to P, used in [29], as $V=-P$.

in a complete agreement with our expectations. We see that the two-derivatives term $\partial_\mu\varphi\partial_\nu\varphi$ does couple to the Einstein tensor. The contribution to the entanglement entropy then is equal to

$$S(\varphi) = \frac{1}{720\pi}\int_\Sigma (\partial_\Sigma\varphi)^2\,. \tag{5.8}$$

For a scalar field $a_{UV} = 1/90\pi^2$. Therefore, by comparing (5.8) with (3.19), we find that in the case of a free field the RG flow results in an IR theory which does not contain any degrees of freedom, $a_{IR} = 0$. This is as expected, see the discussions in [3] and [5].

The entanglement entropy for the field theory (5.1) in flat spacetime should not depend on the value of the non-minimal coupling. As a consistency check on our analysis let us see whether the result (5.7), (5.8) is sensitive to the exact value of the non-minimal coupling in (5.1). For this let us shift the potential $V \to V + \xi R$. The modification of the action W_1 then comes from a deformation of the action W_0 in (5.5),

$$\Delta_\xi W_1 = W_0(V+\xi R) - W_0(V) = -\frac{1}{192\pi^2}\int \xi R\left(\frac{1}{m^2}\Box V - \frac{1}{m^4}V\Box V - \frac{1}{2m^4}(\nabla V)^2\right)\,. \tag{5.9}$$

For the potential V in the form (5.2) this deformation reads

$$\Delta_\xi W_1 = -\frac{1}{48\pi^2}\int \xi R\left((\varphi-1)(1+2\varphi-\varphi^2)\,\Box\varphi - 2\varphi(\varphi-2)(\nabla\varphi)^2\right)\,. \tag{5.10}$$

The first term here vanishes on-shell while the second gives a contribution only in cubic order. We conclude that our result (5.7) is robust and does not change under a deformation of the non-minimal coupling. So that the entropy (5.8) is indeed not sensitive to the values of the non-minimal coupling in the field action (5.1), when evaluated in flat spacetime. In particular, this result should be valid for a minimally coupled scalar field.

5.2 Entanglement entropy in general case, the a-theorem

The analysis which we now perform is inspired by the one made by Komargodski [6] in the two-dimensional case for the c-theorem. The dilaton $\varphi(x)$ couples to the trace of stress-energy tensor as $\varphi(x)T(x)$. Focusing on terms quadratic in φ we find that

$$\left\langle e^{\int\varphi(x)T(x)}\right\rangle = 1 + \frac{1}{2}\int\int \varphi(x)\varphi(y)\,\langle T(x)T(y)\rangle + .. = e^{-W(\varphi)}\,, \tag{5.11}$$

where, in quadratic order, the dilaton action is

$$W(\varphi) = -\frac{1}{2}\int\int \varphi(x)\varphi(y)\,\langle T(x)T(y)\rangle\,. \tag{5.12}$$

Following [3] we shall use a spectral representation for the correlation function[5]

$$\langle T(x), T(y)\rangle = \frac{1}{480\pi^2}\int_0^\infty d\mu\, C^{(0)}(\mu)\mu^4 G_\mu(x,y)\,. \tag{5.13}$$

$G_\mu(x,y)$ is Green's function of a scalar field of mass μ. We extend the relation (5.13) to a curved background[6] . The dilaton $\varphi(y)$ can be now decomposed in a covariant Taylor expansion [30]

$$\varphi(y) = \varphi(x) + \nabla_\alpha\varphi(x)(y-x)^\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\nabla_\alpha\nabla_\beta\varphi(x)\,(y-x)^\alpha(y-x)^\beta + ..\,, \tag{5.14}$$

valid in the normal coordinates with the origin at the point x. In the normal coordinates we evaluate the integral

$$\int dy^4\sqrt{g(y)}\, G_\mu(x,y)(y-x)^\alpha(y-x)^\beta = \frac{H^{\alpha\beta}(x)}{\mu^6}\,, \tag{5.15}$$

where $H^{\alpha\beta}(x)$ is linear in curvature and we omit all other powers of the curvature.

In the dilaton action (5.12) we are interested in a term linear in the curvature. This term takes the form

$$W(\varphi) = -\frac{1}{1920\pi^2}\int_0^\infty d\mu\,\frac{C^{(0)}(\mu)}{\mu^2}\int \varphi(x)H^{\alpha\beta}(x)\nabla_\alpha\nabla_\beta\varphi(x)\,. \tag{5.16}$$

This is a general formula valid for any four-dimensional unitary theory. In particular, it can be applied in the case of a free scalar field of mass m. The form of the spectral function $C^{(0)}(\mu)$ in this case is found in [3]. On the other hand, for a massive fee field we should reproduce (5.7). The result (5.7) does not depend on mass m of the field. In particular, it stays the same if m is taken to zero. In this limit $C^{(0)}(\mu)/\mu^2 \to \delta(\mu)$, as was shown in [3]. These reasonings help us to quickly[7] determine $H^{\alpha\beta}$,

$$H^{\alpha\beta}(x) = \frac{4}{3}G^{\alpha\beta}(x)\,. \tag{5.17}$$

So that, in the case of a generic quantum field theory we have

$$W(\varphi) = \left[\frac{1}{1440\pi^2}\int_0^\infty d\mu\,\frac{C^{(0)}(\mu)}{\mu^2}\right]\int G^{\alpha\beta}(x)\partial_\alpha\varphi(x)\partial_\beta\varphi(x)\,. \tag{5.18}$$

The dilaton dependence of the entanglement entropy then can be deduced from this action by applying (3.3),

$$S(\varphi) = \left[\frac{1}{720\pi}\int_0^\infty d\mu\,\frac{C^{(0)}(\mu)}{\mu^2}\right]\int_\Sigma (\partial_\Sigma\varphi)^2\,. \tag{5.19}$$

---

[5] We note that our normalization for the stress energy tensor is different from the one used in [3]. The zero-spin spectral density $C^{(0)}(\mu)$ is, however, identical to the one used in [3].

[6] There is an ambiguity in extending the relevant scalar field operator to a curved metric: one has to specify the value of the non-minimal coupling in the field operator. However, as we discussed this above, the interesting to us part in the dilaton action/entropy does not depend on the the concrete value of this coupling.

[7] A slower way would be directly compute the integral (5.15) using the normal coordinates.

Comparing this with (3.19) we find

$$a_{UV} - a_{IR} = \frac{1}{90\pi^2} \int_0^\infty d\mu \, \frac{C^{(0)}(\mu)}{\mu^2} \tag{5.20}$$

for the difference in the a-charges between the UV and IR conformal theories. In a unitary theory the spectral density $C^{(0)}(\mu) \geq 0$ and, thus, eq.(5.20) implies the a-theorem.

6 Does the a-theorem follow from the c-theorem?

Let us choose the entangling surface to satisfy the condition $K_\Sigma = 0$ so that, in a generic 4d CFT, the surface conformal anomaly is entirely due to intrinsic geometry of the surface. The anomaly part of the entanglement entropy (3.16)

$$S = \frac{a\pi}{32} \int_\Sigma R_\Sigma \frac{1}{\Delta_\Sigma} R_\Sigma \tag{6.1}$$

then is identical to the anomaly part in the effective action in a two-dimensional conformal field theory. This observation suggests that there might be a closer relation between the two conformal theories. In particular, the c-charge in two dimensions and the a-charge in four dimensions are related as follows

$$c = 3\pi^2 a \, . \tag{6.2}$$

In two dimensions, if the theory is unitary, the c-charge is positive. The relation (6.2) then implies that the a-central charge is a positive quantity, $a > 0$.

Same relation (6.2) is valid for the RG flows of the central charges, $\Delta c = 3\pi^2 \Delta a$, as is seen from (3.18), (3.19). This can be generalized to higher dimensions. In $d = 6$ the a-anomaly part of the dilaton action is

$$W_{d=6}(\tau) = -\Delta a_6 \int_{M_6} (\tau E_6 + ..) \, , \tag{6.3}$$

where E_6 is the Euler density in six dimensions, $\frac{1}{384\pi^3}\int_{M_6} E_6$ is the Euler number, and the terms of higher powers in τ are obtained by the conformal symmetry, see [9] for a relevant discussion. Applying (3.3) to (6.3) one finds the dilaton entropy for an entangling co-dimension two surface,

$$S_{d=4}(\tau) = 6\pi \Delta a_6 \int_{\Sigma_4} (\tau E_4 + ..) \, . \tag{6.4}$$

(We note that the Euler number of Σ_4 is $\frac{1}{32\pi^2}\int_{\Sigma_4} E_4$.) In this formula we used the relation found in [25] for the Euler densities in the bulk geometry and in the singular surface. The higher powers of the dilaton field in (6.4) are fixed by the conformal symmetry on the surface. Since this conformal extension is unique the dilaton entropy (6.4) is precisely the dilaton action (2.4) in $d = 4$. The relation between the RG flows of a-charges in $d = 4$ and $d = 6$ (in the normalization which we use here) is

$$\Delta a_4 = 384\pi \Delta a_6 \,. \tag{6.5}$$

Clearly, this can be extended to any higher dimension.

We have shown in this paper that the a-theorem in $d = 4$ is due to positivity of the 2-point correlation function of stress-energy tensor. This is similar to the proof of the c-theorem originally presented in [3] (see also discussion in [6]). Both theorems, thus, involve the same properties of the correlation functions. Moreover, for the entanglement entropy (6.1) the statement on decreasing of charge a appears to have the form of a c-theorem. These observations suggest that there might be a dipper relation between the two theorems and, possibly, the a-theorem may simply follow from the c-theorem. The c-theorem should be properly applied to the entanglement entropy of a four-dimensional theory. What we could gain from this relation, provided it is better established, is the complete extension of the properties of the RG flows in two dimensions to the four-dimensional field theories. Most importantly, it might be possible to demonstrate, using this similarity, that the RG flow in four dimensions is a gradient flow. We do not develop this direction here leaving it to a future study.

7 Conclusions

We have reformulated the a-theorem in terms of the entanglement entropy. Our approach is motivated by the recent works of Komargodski and Schwimmer [5], [6], where they suggested to analyze the RG flows of the dilaton action. We applied this idea to the study of the RG flows in entanglement entropy of a generic four-dimensional CFT. It was found that the quartic term in the dilaton action, $(\partial\tau)^4$, which was important for the analysis in [5], [6] does not contribute at all to the entropy. Instead, the important contribution comes from the term $G^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\tau\partial_\nu\tau$, where $G^{\mu\nu}$ is the Einstein tensor. This term in the dilaton action is quadratic in τ and, thus, can be related to a 2-point function of the trace of stress-energy tensor. Modulo the technical details this is exactly as in the demonstration of the c-theorem in two dimensions, [3], [6]. The 2-point functions and their positivity properties are easier to analyze than that of the 4-point functions effectively used in the approach of [5]. Our proof of the a-theorem then goes along the lines similar to the proof of the c-theorem.

We propose that this similarity may have some deeper reasons and, in fact, the a-theorem may be a consequence of the c-theorem. This conjecture is based on the following observations: 1) the a-anomaly part in the entanglement entropy in a generic 4d CFT is identical to the effective action of a two-dimensional CFT defined on the entangling surface; 2) in the analysis of the RG flows, the dilaton part of the entanglement entropy in a four-dimensional theory is identical to the dilaton action defined on the co-dimension two entangling surface; 3) the proof of the a-theorem for the entanglement entropy involves the same positivity property of the spectral representation of the 2-point function of stress-energy tensor as in the c-theorem. The points 1) and 2) can be extended to higher dimensions and involve a certain relation between the a-charges in dimensions $d = 2k + 2$ and $d = 2k$. It would be interesting to understand better all these relations.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Z. Komargodski for correspondence.

References

[1] A. B. Zamolodchikov, "Irreversibility of the Flux of the Renormalization Group in a 2D Field Theory," JETP Lett. **43**, 730 (1986) [Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **43**, 565 (1986)].

[2] J. L. Cardy, "Is There a c Theorem in Four-Dimensions?," Phys. Lett. B **215**, 749 (1988).

[3] A. Cappelli, D. Friedan and J. I. Latorre, "C theorem and spectral representation," Nucl. Phys. B **352**, 616 (1991).

[4] H. Osborn, "Derivation of a four-dimensional c theorem," Phys. Lett. B **222**, 97 (1989). I. Jack and H. Osborn, "Analogs For The C Theorem For Four-dimensional Renormalizable Field Theories," Nucl. Phys. B **343**, 647 (1990).

[5] Z. Komargodski and A. Schwimmer, "On Renormalization Group Flows in Four Dimensions," JHEP **1112**, 099 (2011) [arXiv:1107.3987 [hep-th]].

[6] Z. Komargodski, "The Constraints of Conformal Symmetry on RG Flows," JHEP **1207**, 069 (2012) [arXiv:1112.4538 [hep-th]].

[7] M. A. Luty, J. Polchinski and R. Rattazzi, "The a-theorem and the Asymptotics of 4D Quantum Field Theory," arXiv:1204.5221 [hep-th].

[8] H. Elvang and T. M. Olson, "RG flows in d dimensions, the dilaton effective action, and the a-theorem," arXiv:1209.3424 [hep-th].

[9] H. Elvang, D. Z. Freedman, L. -Y. Hung, M. Kiermaier, R. C. Myers and S. Theisen, "On renormalization group flows and the a-theorem in 6d," JHEP **1210**, 011 (2012) [arXiv:1205.3994 [hep-th]].

[10] G. Dvali, A. Franca and C. Gomez, "Road Signs for UV-Completion," arXiv:1204.6388 [hep-th].

[11] Y. Nakayama, "A lecture note on scale invariance vs conformal invariance," arXiv:1302.0884 [hep-th].

[12] L. Bombelli, R.K. Koul, J.H. Lee and R.D. Sorkin, "A Quantum Source Of Entropy For Black Holes," Phys. Rev. D **34**, 373 (1986).
M. Srednicki, "Entropy and area," Phys. Rev. Lett. **71** (1993) 666 [arXiv:hep-th/9303048].

[13] V. P. Frolov and D. V. Fursaev, "Thermal fields, entropy, and black holes," Class. Quant. Grav. **15**, 2041 (1998) [hep-th/9802010].
H. Casini and M. Huerta, "Entanglement entropy in free quantum field theory," J. Phys. A **42**, 504007 (2009) [arXiv:0905.2562 [hep-th]].
S. Ryu and T. Takayanagi, "Aspects of holographic entanglement entropy," JHEP **0608** (2006) 045 [arXiv:hep-th/0605073].
S. N. Solodukhin, "Entanglement entropy of black holes," Living Rev. Rel. **14**, 8 (2011) [arXiv:1104.3712 [hep-th]].

[14] J. I. Latorre, C. A. Lutken, E. Rico and G. Vidal, "Fine grained entanglement loss along renormalization group flows," Phys. Rev. A **71**, 034301 (2005) [quant-ph/0404120].

[15] H. Casini and M. Huerta, "A Finite entanglement entropy and the c-theorem," Phys. Lett. B **600**, 142 (2004) [hep-th/0405111].

[16] S. N. Solodukhin, "Entanglement entropy and the Ricci flow," Phys. Lett. B **646**, 268 (2007) [hep-th/0609045].

[17] I. R. Klebanov, T. Nishioka, S. S. Pufu and B. R. Safdi, "On Shape Dependence and RG Flow of Entanglement Entropy," JHEP **1207** (2012) 001 [arXiv:1204.4160 [hep-th]].

[18] I. R. Klebanov, S. S. Pufu, S. Sachdev and B. R. Safdi, "Entanglement Entropy of 3-d Conformal Gauge Theories with Many Flavors," JHEP **1205**, 036 (2012) [arXiv:1112.5342 [hep-th]].

[19] R. C. Myers and A. Sinha, "Holographic c-theorems in arbitrary dimensions," JHEP **1101**, 125 (2011) [arXiv:1011.5819 [hep-th]].

[20] H. Casini, M. Huerta and R. C. Myers, "Towards a derivation of holographic entanglement entropy," JHEP **1105**, 036 (2011) [arXiv:1102.0440 [hep-th]].

[21] H. Casini and M. Huerta, "On the RG running of the entanglement entropy of a circle," Phys. Rev. D **85**, 125016 (2012) [arXiv:1202.5650 [hep-th]].

[22] S. N. Solodukhin, "Entanglement entropy, conformal invariance and extrinsic geometry," Phys. Lett. B **665**, 305 (2008) [arXiv:0802.3117 [hep-th]].

[23] R. Lohmayer, H. Neuberger, A. Schwimmer and S. Theisen, "Numerical determination of entanglement entropy for a sphere," Phys. Lett. B **685**, 222 (2010) [arXiv:0911.4283 [hep-lat]].
H. Casini and M. Huerta, "Entanglement entropy for the n-sphere," arXiv:1007.1813 [hep-th].
J. S. Dowker, "Hyperspherical entanglement entropy," arXiv:1007.3865 [hep-th].
S. N. Solodukhin, "Entanglement entropy of round spheres," Phys. Lett. B **693**, 605 (2010) [arXiv:1008.4314 [hep-th]].

[24] S. N. Solodukhin, "Entropy of Random Entangling Surfaces," J. Phys. A **45**, 374024 (2012) [arXiv:1112.4662 [hep-th]].

[25] D. V. Fursaev and S. N. Solodukhin, "On the description of the Riemannian geometry in the presence of conical defects," Phys. Rev. D **52**, 2133 (1995) [hep-th/9501127].

[26] D. Fursaev, A. Patrushev and S. N. Solodukhin, "Distributional geometry of squashed cones", in preparation.

[27] M. J. Duff, "Twenty years of the Weyl anomaly," Class. Quant. Grav. **11**, 1387 (1994) [arXiv:hep-th/9308075].

[28] D. V. Fursaev, "The Heat kernel expansion on a cone and quantum fields near cosmic strings," Class. Quant. Grav. **11**, 1431 (1994) [hep-th/9309050].

[29] A. O. Barvinsky, Y. V. Gusev, V. V. Zhytnikov and G. A. Vilkovisky, "Covariant perturbation theory. 4. Third order in the curvature," arXiv:0911.1168 [hep-th].

[30] A. Z. Petrov, "Einstein spaces", Pergamon (1969).